

HOPE VALLEY WATTLEUP COMMUNITY REFERENCE GROUP MEETING MINUTES

TIME	3.00pm to 5.00 pm	ATTENDEES	David Nelson (DN) Chairperson Rod Pattison (RP) Councillor – Town of Kwinana Fran Logan (FL) Member For Cockburn Eva Ricci (ER) Community Member Tim Walster (TW) Kwinana Industries Council Stephen Lee (SL) Mayor – City of Cockburn Peter Van Gent (PvG) LandCorp Mal Tingey (MT) LandCorp		
DATE	MONDAY 28 JUNE 2004	INVITEES			
VENUE	HVWRP Office				
FILE REF	01H183				
			Responsibility	Action	Timing
1	Welcome DN welcomed members to the meeting.				
2	Apologies Norm Marlborough				
3	Minutes PvG advised the previous minutes were forwarded to the EPA as a part of the submission process for the Environmental review. SL enquired about the public launch of the Master Plan. PvG advised of the structure where the community members were able to have personal responses pertaining to their individual concerns and the apparent success of the format. DN noted the community expected a public forum where not so outspoken community members would be able to hear other concerns and responses but overall it was a good result. ER advised KABZ conducted a follow up meeting for the community to go back to basics for those who didn't understand the processes. SL expressed concern that the Master Plan would inhibit residential expansion when the community has been advised they do not have to leave the project area. PvG explained non conforming use rights. ER advised KABZ's position regarding an exit clause for all residents in the rural area. DN called for the committee to support (1) residents in the project area be given the same rights as under the previous Town Planning Scheme and (2) WAPC at gazettal of the Master Plan to clarify with residents non conforming land use rights. Motion (1) was not supported bt KIC and L/C. Motion (2) was supported by all.				

	ER noted FL was to write to the Minister in support of an exit clause for rural residents. FL advised he had written the letter but had not received a response. FL will follow up with the Minister. The minutes from the previous meeting were accepted as a true recording.			
4	Report on EPA Bulletin PvG advised the group the EPA had assessed the submissions received and published the findings in the EPA Bulletin. 16 appeals have been lodged which the Appeals Convenor must process. The Appeals Convenor will meet with the people who appealed as a part of the process. ER confirmed she had been contacted to meet in the coming week. PvG noted the appeals period was an unknown and will affect the time frame for the Master Plan approval process. However it was noted the EPA are aware of the time frames and are endeavouring to expedite the process.			
5	S48 Meeting with the Ministers of Environment and Planning & Infrastructure PvG advised the Minister of Environment and the Minister for Planning & Infrastructure must agree on the recommendations.			
6	Social Transition Strategy MT tabled the STS and outlined the amendments made to the acquisition policy as of 1 June 2004 which have been endorsed by the Minister. MT explained the STS was a live document which could change as circumstances dictated. The Group endorsed the STS.			

<p>7</p>	<p>Responses to Planning Issues</p> <p>PvG tabled the schedule of public submissions and proceeded through them.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> (1) SL commented on the strategic importance of the landfill site in Henderson. PvG advised landfill sites are not appropriate for industrial areas however waste management sites are as indicated with precinct 8 in the proposed Master Plan. SL agreed. (2) PvG explained the concerns over the some suggested land uses. The group endorsed LandCorp’s position. (3) The Social Transition Policy needed to be finalised and the acquisition policy needed to be more transparent. See section 6. ER noted the comments made by the CEO of Town of Kwinana regarding compulsory acquisitions. PvG commented that LandCorp has no intentions of compulsory acquiring properties. (4) Concerns regarding the level of consultation undertaken by LandCorp. PvG commented LandCorp had had undertaken a quite extensive consultation process. FL agreed LandCorp had shown a far greater level of consultation than was experienced with the FRIARS process. (5) Concerns regarding technical data points. No comments were passed. (6) PvG commented that “heavy industrial” is no longer a term used. Land use was controlled with rigorous environmental conditions which determined the permissibility of the use such as emissions must remain on site. This alone would restrict heavy industrial as it is known. The same would apply to hazardous. SL expressed concern that DOIR’s stance was contrary to the Minister’s comments of not allowing expansion if heavy industry into Hope Valley. FL confirmed this was an election pledge. The group voted to recommend to the Minister for heavy industry to be inserted in the land use table as a not permitted use. KIC and L/C voted against. (7) Justification of rationale for the designation of precinct areas was raised. KIC and FL commented there was too much transport and not enough general industry. PvG advised FPA required 200 hectares and the land uses in the transport precincts also supported general industry type land uses. (8) No comment was passed. (9) The Act clearly defines the roles of the nominated agencies. 			
<p>8</p>	<p>Next steps to Development</p> <p>PvG advised LandCorp was working on a business plan which will guide its involvement. It will be another year to complete the bio – diversity strategy which was in motion now to take the opportunity of the coming spring. FL asked if the Master Plan was encumbrent on the bio-diversity strategy. PvG advised him this was not the case.</p>			

9	<p>CRG Life</p> <p>DN commented the CRG has neared the completion of its life with the pending approval of the Master Plan. FL and SL recommended the CRG should continue until the gazettal of the Master Plan. DN suggested the Minister be notified of the CRG's recommendation to continue up to gazettal.</p> <p>PvG outlined a suggested structure for the new committee being proposed. All agreed it seemed satisfactory and FL will approach the Minister regarding it. DN suggested with the finalisation of the CRG the Minister could recognise the contribution of past and present members.</p> <p>FL suggested the next meeting should follow the appeals convenor's assessment in approximately 6 to 8 weeks.</p> <p>DN suggested the criteria for the agenda for the next meeting should be the culmination of items put forward today.</p>			
10	<p>General Business</p> <p>FL expressed concern that DOIR and KIC were pushing to have precinct 13 designated as industrial when it is clearly outside the buffer. PvG advised it will remain rural until the review. ER recommended it should be excised from the project area and returned to the MRS.</p> <p>ER commented on a health survey and requested CRG support. DN advised this was not the CRG's role. FL expressed concern for residents with the continued expansion of the HIA. DN suggested the proposed committee following the wind up of the CRG would be the best forum.</p> <p>SL requested acquisition data. MT will forward to all members by email.</p>			
11	<p>Close</p> <p>Meeting closed 5.35pm</p>			
12	<p>Next Meeting</p> <p>To be determined</p>			